Exhibit 3.11: Description of the TIMSS 2019 Intermediate International Benchmark (475) of Mathematics Achievement

## Intermediate International Benchmark

Summary
Students can apply basic mathematical knowledge in a variety of situations. They can solve problems involving whole numbers, negative numbers, fractions, decimals, and ratios. Students have some basic knowledge about properties of two-dimensional shapes. They can read and interpret data in graphs and have some rudimentary knowledge of probability.

Students at this level can solve problems involving whole numbers, negative numbers, fractions, decimals, and ratios.

Students have some basic knowledge about properties of two-dimensional shapes.

Students can read and interpret data presented in tables, bar graphs, and line graphs. They have some rudimentary knowledge of probability.

Exhibit 3.11.1: Intermediate International Benchmark of Mathematics Achievement - Example Item 1

| Country | Percent Full Credit |  | Content Domain: Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cognitive Domain: Knowing |
|  |  |  | Description: Solves a word problem involving subtraction of negative numbers |
| Finland | 85 (1.5) | - |  |
| † Norway (9) | 82 (2.0) | A |  |
| Chinese Taipei | 82 (1.5) | A | ursday, the lowest tempe |
| England | 82 (1.6) | $\Delta$ | west temperature in City Y was $-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. What was the difference |
| Japan | 81 (1.6) | A | between the lowest temperatures in the cities? |
| ${ }^{2}$ Singapore | 80 (2.0) | A |  |
| Ireland | 80 (1.7) | $\triangle$ | swer: $9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| † Hong Kong SAR | 80 (2.0) | $\triangle$ |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Sweden | 80 (2.1) | A |  |
| Korea, Rep. of | 80 (1.9) | A |  |
| Australia | 79 (1.8) | A |  |
| Hungary | 76 (2.4) | A | The answer shown illustrates the type of response that would receive full credit (1 point). |
| + United States | 70 (1.7) | $\triangle$ |  |
| † New Zealand | 69 (2.5) | A |  |
| Lithuania | 68 (2.3) | A |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Israel | 67 (1.9) | A |  |
| Cyprus | 65 (1.9) | A |  |
| France | 63 (2.3) | A |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Russian Federation | 61 (3.1) |  |  |
| Portugal | 61 (2.8) |  |  |
| International Average | 59 (0.3) |  |  |
| Italy | 57 (2.5) |  |  |
| Romania | 55 (2.4) |  |  |
| United Arab Emirates | 53 (1.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Turkey | 52 (1.9) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Bahrain | 51 (2.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Qatar | 47 (2.4) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Chile | 46 (2.4) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Kazakhstan | 45 (2.7) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Georgia | 44 (2.6) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Malaysia | 43 (1.6) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Egypt | 41 (2.3) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Kuwait | 39 (2.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Jordan | 37 (2.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Oman | 36 (2.0) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Lebanon | 36 (2.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 35 (2.3) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Saudi Arabia | 33 (2.0) | $\nabla$ |  |
| South Africa (9) | 25 (1.1) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Morocco | 22 (1.4) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |
| $\ddagger$ Quebec, Canada | 82 (2.6) | A |  |
| Moscow City, Russian Fed. | 75 (1.9) | A |  |
| Ontario, Canada | 71 (2.0) | A |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Dubai, UAE | 70 (1.8) | A |  |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | 44 (2.0) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Western Cape, RSA (9) | 40 (2.4) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Gauteng, RSA (9) | 30 (1.7) | $\nabla$ |  |

- Percent significantly higher than international average
$\nabla$ Percent significantly lower than international average

See Appendix B. 7 for population coverage notes 1, 2, and 3. See Appendix B. 10 for sampling guidelines and sampling participation notes $\dagger, \ddagger$, and $\equiv$. () Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.

Exhibit 3.11.2: Intermediate International Benchmark of Mathematics Achievement - Example Item 2

| Country | Percent <br> Full Credit |  | Content Domain: Geometry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cognitive Domain: Applying |
|  |  |  | Description: Determines the value of an angle in an irregular quadrilateral given the values of the other angles |
| ${ }^{2}$ Singapore | 90 (1.0) | - |  |
| Japan | 89 (1.1) | $\triangle$ |  |
| Korea, Rep. of | 86 (2.0) | A |  |
| Chinese Taipei | 83 (1.5) | - | - |
| $\dagger$ Hong Kong SAR | 81 (2.2) | A |  |
| Ireland | 78 (1.9) | $\Delta$ |  |
| Hungary | 71 (3.0) | A |  |
| England | 70 (2.5) | $\Delta$ |  |
| Lithuania | 69 (2.2) | A |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Russian Federation | 65 (2.8) | - |  |
| Cyprus | 63 (2.0) | A |  |
| + Norway (9) | 62 (2.1) | $\Delta$ |  |
| Australia | 61 (2.0) | $\Delta$ | What is the value of $x$ ? |
| Turkey | 61 (2.5) | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2 Kazakhstan | 60 (2.7) |  | $x=60$ |
| Romania | 59 (2.3) |  |  |
| Finland | 58 (2.2) |  |  |
| Portugal | 57 (3.0) |  |  |
| International Average | 56 (0.4) |  | The answer shown illustrates the type of response that would receive full credit (1 point). |
| Italy | 55 (2.6) |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Georgia | 54 (2.7) |  |  |
| Bahrain | 54 (2.5) |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Sweden | 52 (2.1) |  |  |
| Malaysia | 52 (2.1) |  |  |
| Lebanon | 51 (2.9) |  |  |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 51 (2.0) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Egypt | 49 (2.7) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Qatar | 48 (2.3) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{+}$New Zealand | 47 (2.4) | $\nabla$ |  |
| United Arab Emirates | 46 (1.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Israel | 46 (2.5) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Oman | 42 (2.1) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Jordan | 41 (2.5) | $\nabla$ |  |
| $\dagger$ United States | 39 (1.9) | $\nabla$ |  |
| France | 36 (2.4) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Kuwait | 32 (3.3) | $\nabla$ |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Saudi Arabia | 30 (2.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| South Africa (9) | 27 (1.2) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Chile | 26 (1.9) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Morocco | 26 (1.7) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |
| Moscow City, Russian Fed. | 75 (2.2) | - |  |
| $\ddagger$ Quebec, Canada | 74 (2.5) | $\Delta$ |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Dubai, UAE | 63 (2.3) | A |  |
| Ontario, Canada | 58 (3.2) |  |  |
| Western Cape, RSA (9) | 44 (2.5) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | 38 (2.1) | $\nabla$ |  |
| Gauteng, RSA (9) | 37 (2.0) | $\nabla$ |  |

Percent significantly higher than international average
Percent significantly lower than international average
See Appendix B. 7 for population coverage notes 1, 2, and 3. See Appendix B. 10 for sampling guidelines and sampling participation notes $\dagger$, $\ddagger$, and $\equiv$.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.
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Exhibit 3.11.3: Intermediate International Benchmark of Mathematics Achievement - Example Item 3


Percent significantly higher than international average
$\nabla$ Percent significantly lower than international average

See Appendix B. 7 for population coverage notes 1, 2, and 3. See Appendix B. 10 for sampling guidelines and sampling participation notes $\dagger, \ddagger$, and $\equiv$.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates comparable data not available.

