| Country | eTIMSS |  | paperTIMSS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation | Average <br> Percent <br> Correct | Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation | Average Percent Correct |
| Australia | -- | -- | 5 (0.4) | 45 (1.0) |
| Bahrain | -- | -- | 9 (0.4) | 38 (0.4) |
| $\psi$ Chile | 16 (0.8) | 25 (0.5) | -- | -- |
| Chinese Taipei | 2 (0.2) | 66 (0.6) | -- | -- |
| Cyprus | -- | -- | 5 (0.4) | 41 (0.4) |
| \% Egypt | -- | -- | 20 (1.1) | 25 (0.9) |
| England | 7 (0.8) | 42 (1.3) | - - | -- |
| Finland | 5 (0.5) | 39 (0.6) | -- | -- |
| France | 8 (0.5) | 33 (0.5) | -- | -- |
| Georgia | 13 (1.0) | 30 (0.9) | -- | -- |
| Hong Kong SAR | 3 (0.5) | 58 (1.0) | -- | -- |
| Hungary | 6 (0.6) | 42 (0.7) | -- | -- |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | -- | -- | 14 (0.5) | 30 (0.8) |
| Ireland | -- | -- | 3 (0.4) | 47 (0.7) |
| Israel | 6 (0.5) | 44 (1.1) | -- | -- |
| Italy | 6 (0.5) | 37 (0.6) | -- | -- |
| Japan | -- | -- | 1 (0.1) | 65 (0.6) |
| \% Jordan | -- | -- | 17 (1.2) | 25 (0.7) |
| Kazakhstan | -- | -- | 7 (0.5) | 38 (0.9) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 2 (0.3) | 65 (0.6) | -- | -- |
| \% Kuwait | -- | -- | 21 (1.2) | 23 (0.8) |
| Lebanon | -- | -- | 14 (0.8) | 26 (0.5) |
| Lithuania | 5 (0.5) | 43 (0.7) | -- | -- |
| Malaysia | 14 (0.8) | 30 (0.6) | -- | -- |
| \% Morocco | -- | -- | 25 (0.9) | 19 (0.4) |
| New Zealand | -- | -- | 9 (0.8) | 37 (0.7) |
| Norway (9) | 7 (0.5) | 38 (0.5) | -- | -- |
| \% Oman | -- | -- | 21 (0.8) | 25 (0.4) |
| Portugal | 5 (0.6) | 37 (0.7) | -- | -- |
| \% Qatar | 17 (0.8) | 28 (0.8) | -- | -- |
| Romania | -- | -- | 11 (0.9) | 37 (1.0) |
| Russian Federation | 3 (0.4) | 49 (1.2) | -- | -- |
| \% Saudi Arabia | -- | -- | 22 (0.8) | 21 (0.3) |
| Singapore | 1 (0.2) | 68 (1.1) | - - | -- |
| * South Africa (9) | -- | -- | 26 (0.7) | 19 (0.3) |
| Sweden | 6 (0.4) | 38 (0.6) | -- | -- |
| Turkey | 10 (0.7) | 39 (0.9) | -- | -- |
| United Arab Emirates | 13 (0.4) | 34 (0.4) | -- | -- |
| United States | 7 (0.6) | 43 (1.1) | -- | -- |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |
| Ontario, Canada | 4 (0.4) | 45 (1.2) | -- | -- |
| Quebec, Canada | 1 (0.3) | 48 (1.0) | -- | -- |
| Moscow City, Russian Fed. | 1 (0.2) | 57 (1.1) | -- | -- |
| \% Gauteng, RSA (9) | - - | - - | 18 (0.8) | 24 (0.6) |
| \% Western Cape, RSA (9) | -- | -- | 16 (0.8) | 28 (1.0) |
| \% Abu Dhabi, UAE | 18 (0.7) | 27 (0.5) | -- | -- |
| Dubai, UAE | 5 (0.4) | 48 (0.5) | -- | -- |

* Students were considered to have achievement too low for estimation if their performance on the assessment was no better than could be achieved by simply guessing on the multiple-choice assessment items. However, such students were assigned scale scores (plausible values) by the achievement scaling procedure, despite concerns about their reliability.
$\Psi$ Reservations about reliability because the percentage of students with achievement too low for estimation exceeds $15 \%$ but does not exceed $25 \%$.
Ж Reservations about reliability because the percentage of students with achievement too low for estimation exceeds $25 \%$.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates comparable data not available.

